

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Minutes of Meeting March 1, 1988

The regular meeting of the Glenview Board of Trustees was called to order by President Smirles in the Board Room at 7.40 p.m.

1. Roll Call

Present: President Smirles and Trustees Esler, Firfer, Helton Norene, Weil
Absent: Trustee Browne (entered at 8 p.m.)
Also Present: Village Manager McCarthy; Village Attorney Randall (entered at 8.25 p.m.); Assistant Village Manager Anderson, Assistant to the Manager Wade

Manager McCarthy announced that the petitioner in 11G, annexation of 1901 Wagner, had requested a continuance so he could return with a proposal more acceptable to all the parties involved. It was also noted that the Burr Oak/Mickey Lane matter was not on this agenda and that the area residents will be notified when it is to be heard, tentatively the meeting of March 15. There was no objection to hearing item 11J as the first item under New Business.

2. Silent Prayer

3. Minutes of meeting of February 16 - approved on motion by Trustee Firfer, seconded by Trustee Norene, with the last word on p.6 deleted.

4. Matters to be presented by the public - none

5. Public Hearings - none

6. Report of the Village President

1. President Smirles reported that in response to a telephone call from Guaranty Trust, he, Manager McCarthy, and Director Porter met with Richard Holson of Guarantee Trust and John O'Connell representing the American Legion. Guarantee Trust had indicated they wanted to contribute to some worthwhile cause in the Village and will finance the improvement to Memorial Square at Glenview and River Drive, and it is expected the work will be completed before Memorial Day.

2. The President informed the Board that he, Manager McCarthy and Trustee Firfer had attended this morning, a meeting of the Techny Area Joint Planning Commission, the primary purpose of which was for the representatives of the various governmental bodies to identify the issues that have to be addressed. He said he listed 3 issues: whether or not Northfield intended to offer the proposed changes in land use re the Kraft development to the Commission; the development of impact fees for the Willow Road corridor, and the third issue was water and where this will come from to supply that area. President Smirles noted that the Village's main concern, traffic, was indicated as a separate issue as well as part of the request to have the Kraft development submitted.

Manager McCarthy, at the President's request, identified the issues which he said were provisionally broken down into five categories and included issues dealing with cooperation, legal rights and obligation, land use, impact studies and municipal services, and in addition the status of the Glenview Naval Air Station; traffic, with emphasis on public transportation; an interest in the Marathon proposal and development of the landfill and liabilities, if any, this would hold for participating municipalities; tax impact study to include sales and property taxes, school population projections; identification of the hearing process for the Techny Commission itself; control over individual property owners - will the Fathers and Sisters be offered an opportunity to join the Commission; alignment of Voltz Road between Northfield and Northbrook; open space aspects of any plan; human resources - what kind of service demands and staffing will be necessitated by the kind of land use plan which will be encouraged; height restriction and noise abatement; legal status of the Techny Agreement and do we want the agreement at all?

Manager McCarthy also said that Gregg Goslin of Northfield Township raised the question of the legal rights of property owners to remain unincorporated.

President Smirles said the next meeting is scheduled for March 22 and prior to adjournment he made the motion to have reconfirmation on agreement with the original Techny Agreement and the amendment of 1980, and that we are still operating under that agreement within which are the boundaries. He considered it important that all 13 jurisdictions return and indicate they agree but if they do not the matter should be put on the table. He said that after considerable discussion, the motion passed unanimously, and he requested that this Board reconfirm its position with regard to the Agreement.

Trustee Firfer interjected that the vote was informal, and one person did not vote at all.

The President said it was agreed that at the March 22 meeting, presentations should be made on the known proposals, i.e. Kraft and the Techny Fathers proposal, and on the Marathon proposal if anything is available at that time.

Moved by Trustee Firfer, seconded by Trustee Norene, that the Board reconfirms the Techny Agreement, approved in 1970 and amended in 1980, and that it will continue to serve under its guidelines.

Upon voice vote: 5 ayes 0 nays 1 absent. Motion carried.

7. Report of Village Manager

Manager McCarthy said that his report had been included in the President's report but requested, on behalf of Attorney Randall, to adjourn to executive session to discuss pending litigation.

Moved by Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Firfer, to adjourn to executive session at the conclusion of the agenda items.

Upon voice vote: 5 ayes 0 nays 1 absent. Motion carried.

8. Report of Village Attorney - none

9. Consent Agenda

Trustee Firfer moved, seconded by Trustee Esler, to accept the Consent Agenda as submitted.

Upon roll call the vote was

Ayes: Trustees Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None
Absent: Trustee Browne
Motion carried.

The following ordinances and resolutions were adopted #2847 Windsor Estates Recapture Agreement; 88-08 removing Clerk's name from bank accounts; 88-09 establishing Sewer Depreciation Fund; 88-10 Opening Library Education Fund.

10. OLD BUSINESS

A. Ordinance rezoning 1614 Glenview Road

Manager McCarthy noted that the most recent site plan distributed in the agenda packet is erroneously marked "preliminary" and should have been shown as "final"; that if the Board adopts the ordinance at this time, the site plan affixed will be amended to reflect this. He also asked that the ordinance be amended in Section 1 to indicate the P.D. zoning is in accordance with the site plan dated January 6, revised March 1, 1988.

Trustee Browne entered the meeting at 8.00 p.m.

Attorney Economos, representing petitioner, stated that the revised site plan reflects the changes requested at the previous Board meeting, and added that although they have been incorporated into the site plan each time it was before the Board, petitioner is requesting specific relief from three planned development requirements: 1) the 2-acre minimum lot requirement, subject site being 2/3 acre, 2) the requirement for a 30' perimeter yard, and 3) to permit a 40% lot coverage instead of the maximum 33% permitted by code.

The Manager said that this development had been given conceptual approval by the Board and that it had not, technically, given first reading to the ordinance in final form now before it. Approval of the ordinance at this time would necessitate waiving the rules to adopt on first reading.

Mr. Irving Lempert, 1024 Vernon, the property owner immediately adjacent to the north, stated his concern that the development not interfere with the handling of stormwater overflow on Vernon. He also noted that the plan now submitted shows a solid brick wall immediately south of his property line which he considered unattractive, and objected to the plan, which he had just now viewed, for this reason.

Attorney Economos stated that the preliminary engineering submitted had met with the approval of Village staff and that final engineering has not yet been completed. He added that Attorney Downing's office had notified the contiguous property owners on three occasions with respect to the matters pending before the Board and presentations to homeowners. He said Mr. Lempert had not attended any meeting.

Mr. Lempert said he had not received any notification by mail of a meeting with homeowners.

President Smirles noted from the minutes of the previous Board meeting that Trustee Helton had commended the residents for their input in this matter.

In reply to Trustee Weil's question, Mr. Kupfer, developer, said construction would start upon approval of building permits which he anticipated would be in May, with construction completed at year's end. With regard to Mr. Lempert's concern on the handling of stormwater, Mr. Kupfer said he is also concerned with stormwater coming from abutting properties since his property is lower, and will provide a drainage channel along the west property line and also through the center of his property which will handle a 100-year rain.

Mr. Lempert commented that there has been flooding of the 100-year type a number of times recently.

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Browne, to suspend the rules and consider the adoption of an ordinance rezoning 1614 Glenview Road.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent. Motion carried.

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Norene, to adopt ordinance #2848, rezoning 1614 Glenview Road to Planned Development zoning, in accordance with the site plan submitted.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent. Motion carried.

B. Status Report on consideration of revocation of conditional use for Loren Buick, Ordinance 2801.

Manager McCarthy said he referred the Board's comments with regard to the status of this matter to the architect for Loren Buick who has indicated that a landscape plan has been submitted for consider

ation by the Appearance Commission at its March 23 meeting. The Manager said that the affected residents have also hired a landscape architect to prepare a plan for submission at that meeting, and it is hoped the Commission will make a recommendation at that time. He added that the Loren Buick plan anticipates an expenditure of around \$10,000 but he did not know what the residents' plan would cost to implement.

President Smirles commented that if for any reason other than at the request of the Appearance Commission, the matter is delayed beyond March 23, petitioner must return before this Board.

Trustee Helton noted that the homeowners should be made aware that some of the planting may not be installed until fall and they will have to put up with the present situation until then. He added that \$10,000 does not permit a great deal of landscaping.

President Smirles said the matter would be kept on the agenda and asked that the Manager relay the sense of the Board to the Chairman of the Appearance Commission.

Trustee Esler stated that if the \$10,000 plan proves to be adequate, Loren Buick must understand that they have the obligation to maintain the planting. He also stated his concern that the homeowners have had to go to the expense of hiring a landscape architect to do Loren Buick's work.

Manager McCarthy said that petitioner's architect, Larry Basil, had indicated to him to day that they are ready to proceed with their plan. He said the question is whether or not petitioner lived up to promises made before the ZBA, Appearance Commission and this Board, and the Appearance Commission is being requested to use the minutes of these meetings as criteria in judging this. Manager McCarthy said that petitioner's representative disagrees with some of the interpretations of the minutes.

C. Request from Austin Liquors for extension on conditional use

President Smirles commented that at the last meeting the attorney for petitioner had indicated that Mr. Shinderman was no longer associated with this project. He said that when the conditional use was originally approved it was based on the fact that Mr. Shinderman had the expertise in this field to run the operation. President Smirles stated that it is because of specific examples such as this that the March 1 date for completion of the building was included in this conditional use ordinance, and that Mr. Shinderman had agreed on that date.

Attorney Michael Fumo, representing Austin Liquors, said that since the last meeting, Mr. Shinderman, who was present, had now been able to get financing in place and is joining in the application for the requested extension, and is in a position to proceed with the development. He added that Mr. Shinderman has a binding contract with the owner, who was also present.

In response to questions from the Board, Mr. Shinderman said he would be the sole owner of the Glenview Car Wash; that since he is not the owner of the property, in order to get the project financed he has entered into a 20 year lease with options, with the property owner.

Attorney Randall entered the meeting at 8.25 p.m.

Attorney Fumo requested that the Board approve the extension of the conditional use ordinance requirement for a certificate of occupancy until July 30, 1988, and there was discussion with regard to setting firm dates. Mr. Shinderman indicated that the Village had reviewed his plans and hoped to have permits in March.

Trustee Esler said that no matter what date is set for ground-breaking the important thing is to decide on a date for completion. He also stated his concern that the use could be turned over to another operator under the present code, and with the potential problem with stacking of cars on Chestnut and back up to Waukegan which Mr. Shinderman had been able to address, asked if a condition could be added to the ordinance prohibiting assigning the lease to someone else without review by the Board.

Mr. Shinderman said he would have difficulty with the bank if that is included. He said he could provide a letter of commitment that the funds are in place.

Attorney Randall said he did not believe the Village could get involved in the lease agreement between parties; that it could control the land and the uses on the land. He said the conditional use could be conditioned upon annual review by the Board.

Trustee Firfer said that the ordinance does have stringent requirements and the stacking issue is addressed, and that any violation of the conditions would bring the matter back to the Board for review.

Trustee Browne commented that addressing the Village's requirements on conditional uses to include expiration of a conditional use at sites where the original use has ceased, is something worth pursuing.

Trustee Weil stated with regard to establishing a ground-breaking date, that had such a date been stipulated for this use, petitioner would have come back before the Board much sooner; that he has waited until the eleventh hour. He also asked if it was permissible to have a co-petitioner.

Attorney Fumo pointed out that the original ordinance #2803, indicates "owner or operator".

Attorney Randall agreed that if Mr. Shinderman should no longer be the operator of this use, the new operator would be subject to the same conditions for the operation of the car wash and if these are violated the conditional use may be revoked.

After some further discussion with regard to the specific dates to be set for start and completion of the building, it was moved by Trustee Weil, seconded by Trustee Helton, to extend the conditional use requirement on completion of the building with the stipulation that groundbreaking take place on or before May 1, 1988, and that final occupancy take place no later than six months from that date.

Manager McCarthy said that "groundbreaking" will be defined as meaning the issuance of permits to begin construction.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent. Motion carried.

11. NEW BUSINESS

J. Request to Open a Farmers Market, and staff memo

Kathy Appert, Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce and Cheri Sloat, President of Glenview Women of Today were present and the board was informed that they had discussed the possibility of a Farmer's Market last October. Ms. Sloat had attended a convention in Springfield in January of this year which included a session on planning a farmers market.

Ms Appert stated that a planning meeting had been held on February 8 with village staff and representatives of the business district, local press and park district in attendance and various sites were discussed, together with traffic and parking, health restrictions, the advantage to Glenview. She said that Glenview's Farmers Market would be the first certified farmers market in the State, and requires a signed contract from each farmer that produce has been grown on his land; requires proof of USDA license if they are to sell dairy products; proof of payment of liability insurance, and proof of the types of pesticides used. Ms. Appert said they intend to visit each farmer who participates.

The Board was also informed by Ms. Appert that the 13 families who border the proposed site were contacted and invited to meet, and only one family attended and one called. She said this was taken as a sign they were in favor, and that since the Pioneer Press article on February 11 they have received numerous calls indicating support for the project.

Ms. Sloat displayed map of the Village which identified the alternative site locations considered. She described these and indicated the reasons they were less suitable than the site proposed at the commuter parking lot north of Jackman Park, in most cases because of problems with vehicular and pedestrian traffic. She said it had been suggested that Lehigh be closed off for parking but since it is a County right-of-way this was not pursued and it is now proposed to use the parking areas along both sides of Lehigh. Diagram of Jackman Park was also displayed.

The Board was informed that it is hoped the market will pull people into the downtown area and as an incentive market customers may be given coupons to be used for discounts that day at certain Village stores.

There was some discussion with regard to getting permission from the railroad for the use of the parking areas, which the Village leases, and the lease would be researched to determine if the actual commuter lot is railroad or Village property.

In response to questions from the Board, Ms. Sloat said farmers would be charged a fee of from \$100 to \$180 per season to be used by Glenview Women of Today to benefit the Library and other charities. None will go to the Chamber; that her organization has liability insurance for this type of event and each farmer will have insurance.

Trustee Weil suggested that a hold harmless clause for the Village be included in the insurance, such as the Village has had for the 4th of July fireworks.

Ms. Appert said that the main problems would be traffic and garbage and they feel they have this taken care of.

Moved by Trustee Esler, seconded by Trustee Firfer, to approve the request to conduct a farmers market in the commuter parking lot north of Jackman Park as requested, subject to necessary approvals from the railroad and that the Village be included as an additional insured under a hold harmless clause to be submitted to the Village Attorney for final approval.

A. Presentation of NWMC Balefill Project and interim financing proposal

Manager McCarthy stated that this project has been in the works for more than three years and said that Trustee Norene has spent a tremendous amount of time in assisting President Smirles and himself, especially in reviewing the interim financing documents which all 31 potential member municipalities have before them for consideration. He added that Trustee Firfer has previously heard this presentation at the Trustees Institute.

Mr. Bill Grams, executive director of the Northwest Municipal Conference, displayed a timeline exhibit which he said has been used over the last 2-1/2 years. He said that the project has been before the Cook County Zoning Board of Appeals, with 40 hours of testimony, cross-examination and citizen input over 8 hearings and received a 5-0 vote to recommend the special use to the County Board. That Board then approved it 14-1, more than the necessary 3/4 vote since there had been a municipal objection filed, permitting going forward with the development permits, the only such site to have received local approval.

Mr. Grams said that the question before the Board is to show that a resolution has been passed by March 15, 1988 to commit its per capita share of the \$700,000 to fund the project over the next several months, to complete engineering and make permit application, including Corps of Engineers permit submittal, and by April approve intergovernmental agreements providing for membership in the Solid Waste Agency and participation in a \$5.5 million interim financing.

He said that if a municipality does not approve either of these by the specified dates, it would lose its right to become an "original member" of the Agency and if it decided to join the Agency later it would have to be approved by the Agency Board of Directors, by a majority of the municipal boards and councils, and be subject to conditions or costs deemed appropriate by the Agency or imposed by the Agency Agreement.

The Board was informed by Mr. Grams that a new element added to project is the development of a recycling component which will be presented to the Conference on March 9 and money is also needed for this. He said the project has been viewed from every possible vantage point and the municipal attorneys had met to go through the intergovernmental agreements and financing packet, which were then reviewed by managers and also by mayors. He indicated two key dates as being March 15 when they will have to spend money, and the formation of the Agency by April 15, and this will be formed when 10 communities approve the ordinance. Mr. Grams noted that since of the 22 communities who have considered the issue, 18 have passed the resolution or will pass it at their next meeting, it appears the commitments will exceed the number necessary to form the Agency. One community has indicated it will not participate.

Mr. Grams said that the option left to the mayors was to suspend the project while waiting for money or get commitment to enable the Conference to into contracts and cover expenses, and the latter was chosen. This requires a commitment of \$1 per capita to cover necessary expenses until they can receive Agency financing after April 15. Mr. Grams said that only the original members will be eligible for membership on the executive committee.

Mr. Grams said that they expect to have permits in hand by the spring of 1989 and he did not foresee any problem in getting these, and anticipated the facility would be in operation in April 1990. He noted that if everything goes as outlined there will be the \$700,000 commitment that rolls to \$5.5 million after April 15 and two years after that the decision is made to go to \$40,000,000 financing.

Manager McCarthy stated that after close consultation with the President and Trustee Norene on financing, he personally felt strongly that the municipalities should go back and ask for interim financing because of some perceived problems in the financing mechanism that would have had to be used. He added that it is his understanding Schaumburg and two Lake County members voted against and the only other community not voting for the project following the NWMC presentation is Northfield. Manager McCarthy expressed the opinion that in the not too distant future there will be a sense of relief at having reserved space; that although he would prefer to see Schaumburg participate, from a narrow point of view it could also be said that they would take up space which will now be available for the remaining participating Villages.

Mr. Grams commented that if a bale space is worth \$22 at this time it would be worth \$140-160 in year 15.

In response to Trustee Norene's question, Mr. Grams said that most of the 18 communities who have apparently given approval, will use the general obligation pledge.

Trustee Norene established that the landfill will accept everything but industrial waste, and that municipal waste is defined by the IEPA as including commercial waste (an example of commercial waste not included would be some types of dry cleaning waste); that typical office buildings and shopping centers would produce municipal waste. He said he personally felt this presented a problem in instances such as Glenview and Northbrook where they have very similar populations and their per capita share would be almost the same, but Northbrook has a much higher EAV for commercial.

Mr. Grams said the per capita commitment was chosen because most communities do not feel necessarily responsible to dispose of all those wastes in the proposed facility, and policing this will be reviewed by each municipality. He said each community will reserve space for its use and will determine how much usage it will have based on the definition of the waste; that the project is directed substantially to address residential waste; that some municipalities will include only single family and some multi; that when they get into the full \$40,000,000 project this Board will determine what it needs.

Mr. Norene asked what would happen if every community wants 50%.

Mr. Grams said that the usage and reservation will possibly be determined by some type of formula and there would be a contractual relationship between each community and the Agency which will address the appropriateness of the quantity and reservation of a community.

In reply to Trustee Norene's question on the option of front funding and still having the ability to abate taxes, Mr. John Peterson, vice-president of J. Nuveen, said this question has been raised before and there is no resolution of it. He said they have devoted a great deal of time to interim financing and deferred more difficult questions on having long-term financing and how allocation of space will take place.

Moved by Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Firfer to give conceptual approval to the resolution pledging up to \$1 per capita for an amount of approximately \$35,000.

Trustee Helton suggested that since all the trustees are present at this time the resolution should perhaps be adopted.

The original motion was withdrawn and Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Firfer then moved to adopt resolution 88-11, approving the expenditure of up to \$1 per capita for an amount of approximately \$35,000 to fund Glenview's share of \$700,000 immediate costs for the balefill project.

Upon voice vote - 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent. Motion carried.

Attorney Randall requested that the ordinance in items 11B, 11C, 11D and 11E be considered as follows: 11D, 11B, 11C and 11E. The President requested that the rules be waived and the ordinances considered for adoption on first reading.

D. Ordinance annexing 2895 Willow Road

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Norene to suspend the rules and consider the adoption of an ordinance annexing 2895 Willow on first reading.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None

Absent: None

Motion carried.

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Browne to adopt ordinance #2849, annexing 2895 Willow Road, the Conter property.

Trustee Browne asked that the dates of filing with the Clerk be inserted.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None

Absent: None

Motion carried.

B. Ordinance annexing 2760 Willow Road

Moved by Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Helton to suspend the rules and consider adoption of an ordinance annexing 2760 Willow, on first reading.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None

Absent: None

Motion carried.

Moved by Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Esler, to adopt ordinance #2850 annexing 2760 Willow.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None

Absent: None

Motion carried.

C. Ordinance annexing northwest corner of Pfingsten and Willow Roads

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Browne, to suspend the rules and consider the adoption of an ordinance annexing the northwest corner of Pfingsten and Willow, on first reading.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None
Absent: None
Motion carried.

Moved by Trustee Esler, seconded by Trustee Browne, to adopt ordinance #2851 annexing the northwest corner of Willow and Pfingsten.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None
Absent: None
Motion carried.

E. Ordinance annexing 2660 Pfingsten Road

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Norene, so suspend the rules and consider adoption of an ordinance annexing 2660 Pfingsten, on first consideration.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None
Absent: None
Motion carried.

Moved by Trustee Helton, seconded by Trustee Firfer, to adopt ordinance #2852 annexing 2660 Pfingsten.

Upon roll call

Ayes: Trustees Browne, Esler, Firfer, Helton, Norene, Weil
Nays: None
Absent: None
Motion carried.

**F. Consent Agenda

G. Plan Commission recommendation of denial re Chester annexation

Continued.

- **H. Consent Agenda
- **I. Consent Agenda
- **K. Consent Agenda
- **L. Consent Agenda
- **M. Consent Agenda

N. Bid award for Prairie Street, and staff memo

Manager McCarthy said that the low bidder is recommended for approval.

Engineer Jacobson said that they had anticipated repairing the existing sanitary sewer with a new material without having to dig up the road, however, the cost differential of \$5,000 did not warrant experimenting with this type of liner rather than replacing the sewers. He said this repair method would be used when necessary in the business district to avoid causing traffic problems.

Moved by Trustee Weil, seconded by Trustee Firfer, to accept staff's recommendation and approve the low bid by Ganna Construction for the sewer work on Prairie Street.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent Motion carried.

O. Bid award for 4 x 4 1-ton dump truck, and staff memo

Staff recommends the low bid by Southside Ford Truck Sales in the amount of \$15,480, be accepted.

Moved by Trustee Weil, seconded by Trustee Esler to accept staff's recommendation and accept the low bid for the 1-ton dump truck.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent Motion carried.

**P. Consent Agenda

Q. Bid award for 2-1/2-ton dump truck, and staff memo

Manager McCarthy said this was not included on the Consent Agenda since the apparent lowest bidder bid on the wrong engine and wrong rear end. Staff recommends acceptance of the lowest conforming bidder in the amount of \$35,500.

Trustee Norene asked if it is standard to have all bids include exceptions to the specifications.

He was informed that to some extent there is waiving of minor exceptions.

Director Porter cited as an example of a minor exception the change in the size of mirrors. In explaining why the bid which includes 23,000# rear axle rather than the one with the 21,000# rear axle, when the specs call for 22,000#, was chosen, Director Porter said

that they have been using the 22,000# specification, which was recommended by Ford Motor Co. after having breaking problems with the 18,500# rear axles they had been using. He said that since those trucks are also used for snowplowing they want to avoid the 4-5 day down time which would be necessary if there is a rear axle break. Director Porter added that the \$35,500 is \$700 higher than a quote for an identical truck with a 22,000# axle, received a year ago and he considered this a reasonable bid.

Moved by Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Esler, to accept staff's recommendation and approve the bid by Freeway Ford Truck Sales in the amount of \$35,500 for the 2-1/2 ton dump truck.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent. Motion carried.

R. Other

1. Trustee Norene said that the community should have an opportunity to view the interior of the Patten House which is nearing completion, including being to view the units. He said that evidently this will take a specific request of that board and moved that staff prepare such a request that some type of formal open house be organized for the community.

President Smirles said that the Patten House board is considering the date of May 15, however, it was pointed out that the units will be occupied by then.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nay 0 absent. Motion carried.

2. Trustee Helton said that he and Trustee Browne will meet with the garbage haulers to discuss their request for a rate increase.

3. President Smirles said he had received a telephone call from from President of Winnetka indicating that Northfield had called a meeting to which Glenview had not been invited.

4. Trustee Norene commented on a newspaper item on the possibility of the developer of a building in Chicago having to raze it because of nonconformance with the plans which had been given approval.

12. List of Bills

Moved by Trustee Norene, seconded by Trustee Browne to authorize the Village Treasurer to pay bills in the amount of \$418,466.91.

Upon voice vote: 6 ayes 0 nays 0 absent Motion carried.

13. Adjourn - on motion by Trustee Weil, seconded by Trustee Browne the meeting was adjourned to executive session at 10.05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Irene Lisk, Recording Secretary